



Machine Learning in Production

Testing in Production



Changelog

@changelog · Follow



“Don’t worry, our users will notify us if there’s a problem”



2:03 PM · Jun 8, 2019



2K Reply Copy link

Read 11 replies



# Back to QA...

## Fundamentals of Engineering AI-Enabled Systems

**Holistic system view:** AI and non-AI components, pipelines, stakeholders, environment interactions, feedback loops

### Requirements:

- System and model goals
- User requirements
- Environment assumptions
- Quality beyond accuracy
- Measurement
- Risk analysis
- Planning for mistakes

### Architecture + design:

- Modeling tradeoffs
- Deployment architecture
- Data science pipelines
- Telemetry, monitoring
- Anticipating evolution
- Big data processing
- Human-AI design

### Quality assurance:

- Model testing
- Data quality
- QA automation
- Testing in production
- Infrastructure quality
- Debugging

### Operations:

- Continuous deployment
- Contin. experimentation
- Configuration mgmt.
- Monitoring
- Versioning
- Big data
- DevOps, MLOps

**Teams and process:** Data science vs software eng. workflows, interdisciplinary teams, collaboration points, technical debt

## Responsible AI Engineering

Provenance,  
versioning,  
reproducibility

Safety

Security and  
privacy

Fairness

Interpretability  
and explainability

Transparency  
and trust

Ethics, governance, regulation, compliance, organizational culture

# Learning Goals

- Design telemetry for evaluation in practice
- Understand the rationale for beta tests and chaos experiments
- Plan and execute experiments (chaos, A/B, shadow releases, ...) in production
- Conduct and evaluate multiple concurrent A/B tests in a system
- Perform canary releases
- Examine experimental results with statistical rigor
- Support data scientists with monitoring platforms providing insights from production data

# Readings

## Required Reading:

- Hulten, Geoff. "[Building Intelligent Systems: A Guide to Machine Learning Engineering.](#)" Apress, 2018, Chapters 14 and 15 (Intelligence Management and Intelligent Telemetry).


## Suggested Readings:

- Alec Warner and Štěpán Davidovič. "[Canary Releases.](#)" in [The Site Reliability Workbook](#), O'Reilly 2018
- Kohavi, Ron, Diane Tang, and Ya Xu. "[Trustworthy Online Controlled Experiments: A Practical Guide to A/B Testing.](#)" Cambridge University Press, 2020.


# From Unit Tests to Testing in Production

*(in traditional software systems)*

# Unit Test, Integration Tests, System Tests



Unit testing



Integration testing



System testing



Acceptance testing  
(Demonstration)

Speaker notes

Testing before release. Manual or automated.





# Beta Testing




## Speaker notes

Early release to select users, asking them to send feedback or report issues. No telemetry in early days.



# Crash Telemetry



**Crash2.exe**

**Crash2.exe has encountered a problem and needs to close. We are sorry for the inconvenience.**

If you were in the middle of something, the information you were working on might be lost.

**Please tell Microsoft about this problem.**


We have created an error report that you can send to us. We will treat this report as confidential and anonymous.

To see what data this error report contains, [click here](#).

With internet availability, send crash reports home to identify problems "in production". Most ML-based systems are online in some form and allow telemetry.

# A/B Testing

Original: 2.3%



The original landing page features the Groove logo in the top left, with navigation links for Product, Blog, Login, and a green button for 'Try it Free for 14 Days'. The main headline reads 'SaaS & eCommerce Customer Support.' Below this is a testimonial from Griffin, Customer Champion at Allocate, stating 'Managing customer support requests in Groove is so easy. Way better than trying to use Gmail or a more complicated help desk.' A green 'Learn More' button is positioned at the bottom right of the testimonial. At the bottom left, it says '97% of customers recommend Groove.'

How it works


What you get

What it costs

How we're different

You'll be up and running in **less than a minute.**

Long Form: 4.3%




The long form landing page features the Groove logo and a badge for '1500+ customers'. It includes a pricing offer: 'ONLY \$19 PER USER/MONTH START YOUR 14 DAY FREE TRIAL' and a form to 'Enter your email address' with a 'Sign Up' button. The main headline is 'Everything you need to deliver awesome, personal support to every customer.' Below this is a sub-headline: 'Assign support emails to the right people, feel confident that customers are being followed up with and always know what's going on.' A video player shows a man speaking, with the caption 'ALLAN USES GROOVE TO GROW HIS BUSINESS. HERE'S HOW'. To the right, a section titled 'WHAT YOU'LL DISCOVER ON THIS PAGE' lists four bullet points: 'Three reasons growing teams choose Groove', 'How Groove makes your whole team more productive', 'Delivering a personal support experience every time', and 'Take a screenshot tour'. At the bottom, it says '1500+ HAPPY CUSTOMERS' and lists logos for BuySellAds, KIBIN, METALAB, and StatusPage.io.

Usage observable online, telemetry allows testing in production. Picture source: <https://www.designforfounders.com/ab-testing-examples/>



# Chaos Experiments



Deliberate introduction of faults in production to test robustness.





# Model Assessment in Production

Ultimate held-out evaluation data: Unseen real user data

# Limitations of Offline Model Evaluation

Training and test data drawn from the same population

- **i.i.d.: independent and identically distributed**
- leakage and overfitting problems quite common

Is the population representative of production data?

If not or only partially or not anymore: Does the model generalize beyond training data?

# Identify Feedback Mechanism in Production

Live observation in the running system

Potentially on subpopulation (A/B testing)

Need telemetry to evaluate quality -- challenges:

- Gather feedback without being intrusive (i.e., labeling outcomes), without harming user experience
- Manage amount of data
- Isolating feedback for specific ML component + version

# Discuss how to collect feedback

More:

- SmartHome: Does it automatically turn of the lights/lock the doors/close the window at the right time?
- Profanity filter: Does it block the right blog comments?
- News website: Does it pick the headline alternative that attracts a user's attention most?
- Autonomous vehicles: Does it detect pedestrians in the street?

Skype for Business

## How was the call quality?

★★★★☆  
Good

**Audio Issues**

- Distorted speech
- Electronic feedback
- Background noise
- Muffled speech
- Echo

**Video Issues**

- Frozen video
- Pixelated video
- Blurry image
- Poor color
- Dark video

blog post demo

[Privacy Statement](#)

Matt Millman  
Because I'm happy 😊

People, groups & messages

Chats Calls Contacts

RECENT CHATS


- Besties 10/10/2018
- Elena Nilsson, Anna Davie... 7/27/2018  
It was great talking to all of ...
- Anna Davies 6/26/2018  
coffee awaits!
- Maarten Smenk 5/25/2018  
📞 Missed call
- Maarten Smenk, Anna Dav... 5/21/2018  
Hi, happy Monday!

Settings  
Help and feedback  
**Report a problem**  
Sign out

## Speaker notes

Expect only sparse feedback and expect negative feedback over-proportionally





DFW ↔ SFO  
1659 of 1687 flights

Nov 16  
Wednesday

Advice: **Watch** [Learn more](#) ⓘ

Create a price alert

Prices may fall within 7 days – Watch

Our model strongly indicates that fares will fall during the next 7 days. This forecast is based on analysis of historical price changes and is not a guarantee of future results.

Create a price alert

### Stops

- nonstop
- 1 stop
- 2+ stops

### Times

Take-off Dallas



Can just wait 7 days to see actual outcome for all predictions




the-changelog-318

[← Dashboard](#) | Quality: High ⓘ

Last saved a few seconds ago

...

Share

00:00  Offset 00:00 01:31:27



Play



Back 5s

1x

Speed



Volume

### NOTES

Write your notes here

Speaker 5 ▶ 07:44

Yeah. So there's a slight story behind that. So back when I was in, **uh**, Undergrad, I wrote a program for myself to measure a, **the** amount of time I did data entry **from** my father's business and I was on windows at the time and there wasn't a function called time dot **[inaudible]** time, **uh**, which I **needed** to parse dates to get back to time, **top** of representation, **uh**, I figured out a way to do it and I gave it to what's called the python cookbook because it just seemed like something other people could use. So **it was** just trying to be helpful. **Uh**, subsequently I had to figure out how to make it work **because** I didn't really have to. Basically, it bothered me that you had to input all the **locale** information and I figured out how to do it over **the subsequent months**. And actually as a graduation gift from my Undergrad, the week following, I solved it and wrote it all out.

Speaker 5 ▶ 08:38

And I asked, **uh**, Alex Martelli, the editor of the Python Cookbook, which had published my original recipe, **a**, how do I get this into python? I think **it** might help

How did we do on your transcript? ☆☆☆☆☆

## Speaker notes

Clever UI design allows users to edit transcripts. UI already highlights low-confidence words, can



# Manually Label Production Samples

Similar to labeling learning and testing data, have human annotators



# Summary: Telemetry Strategies

- Wait and see
- Ask users
- Manual/crowd-source labeling, shadow execution
- Allow users to complain
- Observe user reaction

# Breakout: Design Telemetry in Production

Discuss how to collect telemetry (Wait and see, ask users, manual/crowd-source labeling, shadow execution, allow users to complain, observe user reaction)

Scenarios:

- Front-left: Amazon: Shopping app feature that detects the shoe brand from photos
- Front-right: Google: Tagging uploaded photos with friends' names
- Back-left: Spotify: Recommended personalized playlists
- Back-right: Wordpress: Profanity filter to moderate blog posts

≡ (no need to post in slack yet)

# Measuring Model Quality with Telemetry

- Usual 3 steps: (1) Metric, (2) data collection (telemetry), (3) operationalization
- Telemetry can provide insights for correctness
  - sometimes very accurate labels for real unseen data
  - sometimes only mistakes
  - sometimes delayed
  - often just samples
  - often just weak proxies for correctness
- Often sufficient to *approximate* precision/recall or other model-quality measures
- Mismatch to (static) evaluation set may indicate stale or unrepresentative data
- Trend analysis can provide insights even for inaccurate proxy measures

# Breakout: Design Telemetry in Production

Discuss how to collect telemetry, the metric to monitor, and how to operationalize

Scenarios:

- Front-left: Amazon: Shopping app detects the shoe brand from photos
- Front-right: Google: Tagging uploaded photos with friends' names
- Back-left: Spotify: Recommended personalized playlists
- Back-right: Wordpress: Profanity filter to moderate blog posts

As a group post to #lecture and tag team members:



Speaker notes


about 30 minutes to here



# Monitoring Model Quality in Production


- Monitor model quality together with other quality attributes (e.g., uptime, response time, load)
- Set up automatic alerts when model quality drops
- Watch for jumps after releases
  - roll back after negative jump
- Watch for slow degradation
  - Stale models, data drift, feedback loops, adversaries
- Debug common or important problems
  - Monitor characteristics of requests
  - Mistakes uniform across populations?
  - Challenging problems -> refine training, add regression tests

## Average rating last 15min



— movielog1 — movielog2 — movielog3 — movielog4 — movielog5

# Prometheus and Grafana












Website Overview



Zoom Out Last 3 hours



# Many commercial solutions



e.g. <https://www.datarobot.com/platform/mlops/>

≡ Many pointers: Ori Cohen "[Monitor! Stop Being A Blind Data-Scientist.](#)" Blog 2019

# Detecting Drift




Image source: Joel Thomas and Clemens Mewald. [Productionizing Machine Learning: From Deployment to Drift Detection](#). Databricks Blog, 2019

# Engineering Challenges for Telemetry



# Engineering Challenges for Telemetry

- Data volume and operating cost
  - e.g., record "all AR live translations"?
  - reduce data through sampling
  - reduce data through summarization (e.g., extracted features rather than raw data; extraction client vs server side)
- Adaptive targeting
- Biased sampling
- Rare events
- Privacy
- Offline deployments?

# Breakout: Engineering Challenges in Telemetry

Discuss: Cost, privacy, rare events, bias


Scenarios:

- Front-left: Amazon: Shopping app feature that detects the shoe brand from photos
- Front-right: Google: Tagging uploaded photos with friends' names
- Back-left: Spotify: Recommended personalized playlists
- Back-right: Wordpress: Profanity filter to moderate blog posts

(can update slack, but not needed)



# Telemetry for Training: The ML Flywheel



# Revisiting Model Quality vs System Goals


# Model Quality vs System Goals

Telemetry can approximate model accuracy

Telemetry can directly measure system qualities, leading indicators, user outcomes

- define measures for "key performance indicators"
- clicks, buys, signups, engagement time, ratings
- operationalize with telemetry


# Model Quality vs System Quality



Bernardi, Lucas, et al. "150 successful machine learning models: 6 lessons learned at Booking.com." In Proc. Int'l Conf. Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining, 2019.



# Possible causes of model vs system conflict?





hypothesized

- model value saturated, little more value to be expected
- segment saturation: only very few users benefit from further improvement
- overoptimization on proxy metrics not real target metrics
- uncanny valley effect from "creepy AIs"



# Breakout: Design Telemetry in Production

Discuss: What key performance indicator of the *system* to collect?

Scenarios:

- Front-left: Amazon: Shopping app feature that detects the shoe brand from photos
- Front-right: Google: Tagging uploaded photos with friends' names
- Back-left: Spotify: Recommended personalized playlists
- Back-right: Wordpress: Profanity filter to moderate blog posts

(can update slack, but not needed)

# Experimenting in Production

- A/B experiments
- Shadow releases / traffic teeing
- Blue/green deployment
- Canary releases
- Chaos experiments



**Grady Booch**

@Grady\_Booch · [Follow](#)



Testing in production.



**Elon Musk**

@elonmusk



Made my account private until tomorrow morning to test whether you see my private tweets more than my public ones

1:06 AM · 2/1/23 · **633K** Views

**27.2K** Likes **21** Quotes

9:47 AM · Feb 1, 2023



2.7K



Reply



Copy link


[Read 156 replies](#)



# A/B Experiments

# What if...?

- ... we hand plenty of subjects for experiments
  - ... we could randomly assign to treatment and control group without them knowing
  - ... we could analyze small individual changes and keep everything else constant
- Ideal conditions for controlled experiments



# A/B Testing for Usability

- In running system, random users are shown modified version
- Outcomes (e.g., sales, time on site) compared among groups

**Original: 2.3%**

**Long Form: 4.3%**

**Original:** Groove logo, Product, Blog, Login, Try it Free for 14 Days. SaaS & eCommerce Customer Support. "Managing customer support requests in Groove is so easy. Way better than trying to use Gmail or a more complicated help desk." Griffin, Customer Champion at Allocate. 97% of customers recommend Groove. Learn More. How it works, What you get, What it costs, How we're different. You'll be up and running in less than a minute.

**Long Form:** Groove logo, 1500+ HAPPY CUSTOMERS, ONLY \$15 PER USER/MONTH START YOUR 14-DAY FREE TRIAL, Enter your email address, Sign Up, Blog, Sales. Everything you need to deliver awesome, personal support to every customer. Assign support emails to the right people, feel confident that customers are being followed up with and always know what's going on. ALLAN USES GROOVE TO GROW HIS BUSINESS. HERE'S HOW. WHAT YOU'LL DISCOVER ON THIS PAGE: Three reasons growing teams choose Groove, How Groove makes your whole team more productive, Delivering a personal support experience every time, Take a screenshot tour, A personal note from our CEO. 1500+ HAPPY CUSTOMERS. BuySellAds, KIRBY, METALAB, StatusPage.io. Video player showing a man speaking.

Speaker notes

Picture source: <https://www.designforfounders.com/ab-testing-examples/>





# Bing Experiment

- Experiment: Ad Display at Bing
- Suggestion prioritized low
- Not implemented for 6 month
- Ran A/B test in production
- Within 2h *revenue-too-high* alarm triggered suggesting serious bug (e.g., double billing)
- Revenue increase by 12% - \$100M annually in US
- Did not hurt user-experience metrics

From: Kohavi, Ron, Diane Tang, and Ya Xu. "Trustworthy Online Controlled Experiments: A Practical Guide to A/B Testing." 2020.

The image displays two screenshots of Bing search results for the query "flowers". Both screenshots show 358,000,000 results and a list of four ads. The top screenshot shows the control version where the ads are ordered as follows: 1. "Flowers at 1-800-FLOWERS@ 1800Flowers.com", 2. "FTD@ - Flowers www.FTD.com", 3. "Send Flowers from \$19.99 www.ProFlowers.com", and 4. "50% Off All Flowers www.BloomsToday.com". The bottom screenshot shows the experimental version where the ads are ordered as follows: 1. "FTD@ - Flowers - Get Same Day Flowers in Hours! www.FTD.com", 2. "Flowers at 1-800-FLOWERS@ | 1800flowers.com 1800Flowers.com", 3. "Send Flowers from \$19.99 - send Roses, Tulips & Other Flowers www.ProFlowers.com", and 4. "\$19.99 - Cheap Flowers - Delivery Today By A Local Florist! www.FromYouFlowers.com". Red circles and arrows highlight the changes in ad placement between the two versions.

# A/B Experiment for ML Components?

- New product recommendation algorithm for web store?
- New language model in audio transcription service?
- New (offline) model to detect falls on smart watch




# Experiment Size

With enough subjects (users), we can run many many experiments

Even very small experiments become feasible

Toward causal inference



Speaker notes

about an hour in



# Implementing A/B Testing

Implement alternative versions of the system

- using feature flags (decisions in implementation)
- separate deployments (decision in router/load balancer)

Map users to treatment group

- Randomly from distribution
- Static user - group mapping
- Online service (e.g., [launchdarkly](#), [split](#))

Monitor outcomes *per group*

- Telemetry, sales, time on site, server load, crash rate

Speaker notes

divide them into groups



# Feature Flags (Boolean flags)

```
if (features.enabled(userId, "one_click_checkout")) {  
    // new one click checkout function  
} else {  
    // old checkout functionality  
}
```

- Good practices: tracked explicitly, documented, keep them localized and independent
- External mapping of flags to customers, who should see what configuration
  - e.g., 1% of users sees one\_click\_checkout, but always the same users; or 50% of beta-users and 90% of developers and 0.1% of all users

```
def isEnabled(user): Boolean = (hash(user.id) % 100) < 10
```

## Speaker notes

mapping somewhere One way of doing this randomly is hashing user ID random but stable same users always in the same group some offset to get a new sample for telemetry you need to know what group a user was in. Once you have a mapping from flags here then it's easier you can also use a load balancer to manage this you need an if statement somewhere chrome, facebook, if statements are in the backend/code





▼ Treatments ⓘ | 2 treatments, if Split is killed serve the default treatment of "off"

Treatment	Default	Description
on	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The new version of registration process is enabled.
off	<input type="checkbox"/>	The old version of registration process is enabled.

+ Add treatment | [Learn more about multivariate treatments.](#)

▼ Whitelist ⓘ | 0 user(s) or segments individually targeted.

+ Add whitelist

▼ Traffic Allocation ⓘ | 100% of user included in Split rules evaluation below.

Total Traffic Allocation:  100 % total User in Split

▼ Targeting Rules ⓘ | 2 rules created for targeting.

if

user is in segment qa

Then serve on

else if

user is in segment beta\_testers

Then serve percentage

on	50
off	50

+ Add rule

▼ Default Rule ⓘ | Serve treatment of "off".

serve off



## Speaker notes

There are companies that do this as a service expose your database. "boolean option as a service" most groups in the past have done it themselves

launch darkley, pslit IO, open source libraries gets complicated when you want to do multiple experiments, factorial design gets complicated the bing team wrote a whole book



# Confidence in A/B Experiments

(statistical tests)

# Comparing Averages

## Group A

*classic personalized content recommendation model*

2158 Users

average 3:13 min time on site

## Group B

*updated personalized content recommendation model*

10 Users

average 3:24 min time on site

## Speaker notes


What's the problem here? t test assumes a normal distribution

statistical tests to see if it's random event

who here knows about the t test? It's one of the standard tests for this kind of thing.



# Comparing Distributions



## Speaker notes

means of the real distributions from which these are sampled, chances that they're actually different



t test captures that, it's old/simple, it's robust enough for almost everything we're doing here

Originally for agriculture, all about barley vs. hops, it's about beer

milestone 3 we're going to ask for statistical confidence, T test is fine.  
all these tools implement this .





# Different effect size, same deviations





# Same effect size, different deviations



Less noise --> Easier to recognize

# Dependent vs. independent measurements

## Pairwise (dependent) measurements

- Before/after comparison
- With same benchmark + environment
- e.g., new operating system/disc drive faster

## Independent measurements

- Repeated measurements
- Input data regenerated for each measurement


# Significance level

- Statistical change of an error
- Define before executing the experiment
  - use commonly accepted values
  - based on cost of a wrong decision
- Common:
  - 0.05 significant
  - 0.01 very significant
- Statistically significant result  $\nRightarrow$  proof
- Statistically significant result  $\nRightarrow$  important result
- Covers only alpha error (more later)


# Intuition: Error Model

- 1 random error, influence  $\pm 1$
- Real mean: 10
- Measurements: 9 (50%) und 11 (50%)
  
- 2 random errors, each  $\pm 1$
- Measurements: 8 (25%), 10 (50%) und 12 (25%)
  
- 3 random errors, each  $\pm 1$
- Measurements : 7 (12.5%), 9 (37.5), 11 (37.5), 12 (12.5)


# Normal Distribution



# Confidence Intervals



# Comparison with Confidence Intervals



Source: Andy Georges, et al. 2007. [Statistically rigorous java performance evaluation](#). In Proc. Conference on Object-Oriented Programming Systems and Applications.

# t-test

```
> t.test(x, y, conf.level=0.9)
```

```
Welch Two Sample t-test
```

```
t = 1.9988, df = 95.801, p-value = 0.04846
```

```
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is  
not equal to 0
```


```
90 percent confidence interval:
```

```
0.3464147 3.7520619
```


```
sample estimates:
```

```
mean of x mean of y
```





Source: <https://conversionssciences.com/ab-testing-statistics/>



Source: <https://cognetik.com/why-you-should-build-an-ab-test-dashboard/>

# How many samples needed?

Too few?

Too many?

## Speaker notes

50/50? New model vs. old model about 1:10 to here inherently risky, so you don't want too many in experiment



# A/B testing automation

- Experiment configuration through DSLs/scripts
- Queue experiments
- Stop experiments when confident in results
- Stop experiments resulting in bad outcomes (crashes, very low sales)
- Automated reporting, dashboards

Further readings:

# DSL for scripting A/B tests at Facebook

```
button_color = uniformChoice(  
    choices=['#3c539a', '#5f9647', '#b33316'],  
    unit=cookieid);  
  
button_text = weightedChoice(  
    choices=['Sign up', 'Join now'],  
    weights=[0.8, 0.2],  
    unit=cookieid);  
  
if (country == 'US') {  
    has_translate = bernoulliTrial(p=0.2, unit=userid);
```

Further readings: Bakshy, Eytan et al. [Designing and deploying online field experiments](#). Proc. WWW, 2014. (Facebook)

# Concurrent A/B testing

Multiple experiments at the same time

- Independent experiments on different populations -- interactions not explored
- Multi-factorial designs, well understood but typically too complex, e.g., not all combinations valid or interesting
- Grouping in sets of experiments (layers)

Further readings:

# Other Experiments in Production

Shadow releases / traffic teeing

Blue/green deployment

Canary releases

Chaos experiments



# Shadow releases / traffic teeing

Run both models in parallel

Use predictions of old model in production

Compare differences between model predictions

If possible, compare against ground truth labels/telemetry

**Examples?**

# Blue/green deployment

Provision service both with old and new model (e.g., services)

Support immediate switch with load-balancer

Allows to undo release rapidly

**Advantages/disadvantages?**

# Canary Releases


Release new version to small percentage of population (like A/B testing)

Automatically roll back if quality measures degrade

Automatically and incrementally increase deployment to 100% otherwise



# Chaos Experiments



# Chaos Experiments for ML Components?



## Speaker notes

Artificially reduce model quality, add delays, insert bias, etc to test monitoring and alerting infrastructure



# Advice for Experimenting in Production

Minimize *blast radius* (canary, A/B, chaos expr)

Automate experiments and deployments

Allow for quick rollback of poor models (continuous delivery, containers, loadbalancers, versioning)

Make decisions with confidence, compare distributions

Monitor, monitor, monitor

# Bonus: Monitoring without Ground Truth



# Invariants/Assertions to Assure with Telemetry

- Consistency between multiple sources
  - e.g., multiple models agree, multiple sensors agree
  - e.g., text and image agree
- Physical domain knowledge
  - e.g., cars in video shall not flicker,
  - e.g., earthquakes should appear in sensors grouped by geography
- Domain knowledge about unlikely events
  - e.g., unlikely to have 3 cars in same location
- Stability
  - e.g., object detection should not change with video noise
- Input conforms to schema (e.g. boolean features)
- And all invariants from model quality lecture, including capabilities

# Summary

Production data is ultimate unseen validation data

Both for model quality and system quality

Telemetry is key and challenging (design problem and opportunity)

Monitoring and dashboards

Many forms of experimentation and release (A/B testing, shadow releases, canary releases, chaos experiments, ...) to minimize "blast radius"; gain confidence in results with statistical tests

# Further Readings

- On canary releases: Alec Warner and Štěpán Davidovič. “[Canary Releases.](#)” in [The Site Reliability Workbook](#), O’Reilly 2018
- Everything on A/B testing: Kohavi, Ron. [Trustworthy Online Controlled Experiments: A Practical Guide to A/B Testing](#). Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- A/B testing critiques: Josh Constine. [The Morality Of A/B Testing](#). Blog 2014; the [Center of Humane Technology](#); and the Netflix documentary [The Social Dilemma](#)
- Ori Cohen “[Monitor! Stop Being A Blind Data-Scientist.](#)” Blog 2019
- Jens Meinicke, Chu-Pan Wong, Bogdan Vasilescu, and Christian Kästner. [Exploring Differences and Commonalities between Feature Flags and Configuration Options](#). In Proceedings of the Proc. International Conference on Software Engineering ICSE-SEIP, pages 233–242, May 2020.

